	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/532,839	DROESBEKE, GERT
	Examiner ·	Art Unit
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Tho D. Ta	2833
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Tho D. Ta</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mark F. Harrington.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 February 2007	Time: <u>AM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1, 8, 12		
Prior art documents discussed: EP 0 398 473 A1		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Attorney agreed to the changes indicate in examiner's amendment in order to define over the prior art.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
•	•	
Moder		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applicant's Represent	ative Signature – if appropriate)